The Software Engineering Education Tripod: Students, Teachers, and Industry

Prof. Dr. Dirk Riehle

Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg

ECSEE 2014 – Monastry Seeon – 2014-11-28

Research and Teaching Group of Speaker

- Professor of Software Engineering at FAU
 - Officially "Professor of Open Source Software" since 2009
 - At Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg
- Previously held research positions at ...
 - SAP Labs (Silicon Valley) leading the open source research group
 - UBS (Swiss Bank, Zurich) leading the software engineering group
- Previously worked in product development at ...
 - Skyva Inc. (supply chain software startup, Boston) as software architect
 - Bayave GmbH (on-demand business software, Berlin) as CTO

Teaching Goals / Model of Group [1]

Job Profiles

- Software developer
- Engineering manager
- Product manager
- Researcher

Employers

- Established companies
- Product startups
- Research labs

Software Engineering Curriculum



The Case for Project-Based Learning

- Approachability of subject matter
- Effectiveness of knowledge acquisition
- Effectiveness of know-how acquisition
- Student motivation and engagement

→ Use semester-long project as substrate

Stakeholders





Stakeholder Motivation

- Students
 - Achieve learning goals
 - Perform meaningful work
- Industry
 - Meet recruiting goals
 - Benefit from student work
- Teachers / University [1]
 - Fulfill educational mission
 - Fulfill economic mission

[1] Please note: These are not the same, sometimes there are conflicts of interest, but we'll ignore those for simplicity's sake.

Current Project-based Courses



Goal: learn agile methods Project: software development



Goal: learn product management Project: product business case



Goal: learn scientific work Project: research project

8

The AMOS Project

- Learning objectives
 - Understand logic of agile methods (Scrum)
 - Acquire skills to perform agile projects, use tools
- Teaching approach
 - Project-based learning using semester-long project
 - Project acquired and performed in cooperation with industry partner
- Grading approach
 - Classroom participation and weekly work
 - Final demo and effort moderates grade



Project Participant Roles

- Students / teams
 - Perform product management and software development work
 - Product manager (product owner) for 5 ECTS
 - Software developer ("team member") for 10 ECTS
 - Other dynamic roles (Scrum master, release manager, ...)
- Industry partner
 - Provides high-level requirements and incremental feedback
- Teachers
 - Performs process quality assurance, student guidance

Course Content 1/2

- Process best practices (Scrum and related)
 - Release, sprint, day planning practices, ...
 - Sprint retrospectives (process improvement)
- Technical best practices (XP and related)
 - Refactoring, test-driven development, continuous integration, ...
 - Code review, pair programming, ...

Course Content 2 / 2

- Components, frameworks, libraries
 - Java, JUnit, Selenium, Eclipse IDE, ...
 - Tomcat, Hibernate, PostgreSQL, Servlets, ...
 - Android, Linux, Windows, ...
- Software engineering tools
 - Git (svn), maven, bug tracker, Google Docs
 - Not yet dedicated agile methods tools

Course Staffing

- Functional roles
 - Lectures and exercises
 - Tech support and operations
 - Product owner guidance
 - Software developer guidance
- Alternatives too expensive
 - Team coaches
- Future: crowdgrading
 - To improve feedback quality









Student Demographics

- Degree programs
 - 50% computer science students
 - 50% information systems students
- Degree level
 - 80% Master students
 - 20% Bachelor students



Time-Line: Semester



Time-Line: Iteration (Week)



Time-Line: Meeting Day



1. Recruiting 2. Outsourcing 3. Innovation 4. Ecosystem

History of Industry Partners

2012 (SS): Industry trial GfK

2013 (SS): Industry partners



2014 (SS): Academic trial, industry partners

■ methodpark





2015 (SS): Industry and academic partners...



1. Marketing

- 2. Project pricing
- 3. Intellectual property

Teacher / University Motivation

1. Teaching duties

- 2. Sponsorship
- 3. Student startups

4. Follow-on projects

Course Results

- Students
 - Teaching evaluation above average; the occasional outlier
 - Useful real work with industry partner is incredibly motivating
- Industry partners
 - Most want to return, some have done so already
 - Project facilitated student jobs, useful software
- Teachers / University
 - Projects finance a fair bit of research support
 - Four startups in different stages of gestation [1]

Root Cause of Course Challenges

The combination of

1. Transient teams

2. Self-organization

Key Things That (Can) Go Wrong

1. Uncommitted teams

2. Vastly differing abilities

3. Clash of personalities

4. Unspoken expectations

Preparation / Counter Measures

1. Team constitution

2. Team-building workshop

3. Team contracts

Open Source Research Surveys

2014 AMOS Course Entry Survey

Student entry survey for our project-based courses (AMOS, ARCH, PROD, NYT, TSWS).

	0% 100%
Students	
*Please tell us whether you are a Bachelor or a	a Master student:
Choose one of the following answers	
(Bachelor/ette Student (or Vordiplom)
	Master Student (or Haupdiplom)

Please tell us about your work experience. If you had no work experience, please just leave the checkmark at none.

	None	Part-time, less than 6 months	Part-time, less than 2 years	Part-time, more than 2 years	Full-time, less than 6 months	Full-time, less than 2 years	Full-time, more than 2 years
I have/had a job as a software developer:	0	0	0	0	0	۲	0
I have/had a job as a product manager:	۲	0	0	0	0	0	0
I have/had other work experience in industry:	۲	0	0	0	0	0	0

*Please tell us about your technical background and experience:

	Not at all	I'm a Beginner	I'm Intermediate	I'm an Expert
I have worked with git and / or GitHub:	0	0	۲	0
I have worked with maven:		0	0	0
I have worked with the Java platform:	0	0	0	۲
I have worked with C# technologies:		0	0	0
have worked with Java and Android:	0	۲	0	0







Team Contract

- A Team Contract makes explicit
 - Expectations towards project and team
 - Desired and undesired behavior
 - Rewards and sanctions
- Student teams create one
 - When the project starts
 - And commit in writing
- Teachers use it during mediation

Steering Feedback



Conclusions (for Now)

- Course is evolving at a rapid pace
 - Addition of academic partners (to industry partners)
 - To cope with resource problems
 - To foster Germany-compatible startups
 - Introduction of consulting company metaphor
 - To address team problems more effectively
 - To broaden learning scope, experiences

Thanks! Questions?

dirk.riehle@fau.de – http://osr.cs.fau.de dirk@riehle.org – http://dirkriehle.com – @dirkriehle